



# NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

BOARD OF EDUCATION

SCHOOL DISTRICT

PROFESSIONAL STAFF

3220/page 1 of 15

## REPLACEMENT POLICY - SPECIAL UPDATE - APRIL 2013

### STANDARDS-BASED TEACHER EVALUATION

**[DRAFTING NOTE: This is only a policy "template" and requires numerous and important local choices prior to adoption. In addition, the final policy must be developed "in consultation with teachers employed by the Board"]**

The Board of Education is responsible for a standards-based teacher evaluation policy which conforms to the framework for evaluation of teachers as approved by the State Board of Education and aligns with the "Standards for the Teaching Profession" as set forth in State law.

[ ] The Board adopts the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System ("OTES") model as approved by the State Board of Education.

The Board believes in the importance of ongoing assessment and meaningful feedback as a powerful vehicle to support improved teaching performance and student growth, as well as promotion and retention decisions for teachers.

This policy shall be implemented as set forth herein and shall be included in the collective bargaining agreement with the GALION EDUCATION ASSOCIATION, and in all extensions and renewals thereof.

This policy has been developed in consultation with teachers employed by the Board.

The Board authorizes the Superintendent to establish and maintain an ongoing Evaluation Policy Consultation committee, with continuing participation by District teachers

( ) represented by the GALION EDUCATION ASSOC

for the express purpose of recommending necessary changes to the Board for the appropriate revision of this policy.



# NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 2 of 15

## Definitions

**"OTES"** - stands for the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System as adopted by the Ohio State Board of Education in 2011, or as otherwise modified by the State Board of Education.

**"Teacher"** - For purposes of this policy, "teacher" means licensed instructors who spend at least fifty percent (50%) of his/her time providing content-related student instruction and who is working under one of the following:

- A. A license issued under R.C. 3319.22, 3319.26, 3319.222 or 3319.226; or
- B. A permanent certificate issued under R.C. 3319.222 as it existed prior to September, 2003; or
- C. A permanent certificate issued under R.C. 3319.222 as it existed prior to September, 2006; or
- D. A permit issued under R.C. 3319.301.

Substitute teachers and teachers not meeting this definition are not subject to evaluation under this policy. Full time bargaining unit members who do not meet the definition will be evaluated utilizing the evaluation procedures of the collective bargaining agreement in effect between the Board and the

GALION EDUCATION ASSOCIATION





# EOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 3 of 15

*delete*  
The Superintendent, Treasurer, ~~(+) Business Manager~~ and any "other administrator" as defined by R.C. 3319.02 are not subject to evaluation under this policy

**"Credentialed Evaluator"** - For purposes of this policy, each teacher subject to evaluation will be evaluated by a person who:

- A. meets the eligibility requirements under R.C. 3319.111(D); and
- B. holds a credential established by the Ohio Department of Education for teacher evaluation; and
- C. has completed State-sponsored evaluation training and has passed an online credentialing assessment.

**[Choose One]**

☒ *No* The Board will approve and maintain a list of credentialed evaluators as necessary to effectively implement this policy.

**OR**

☒ *yes* The Board shall authorize the Superintendent/designee to approve and maintain a list of credentialed evaluators *employed by district,* as necessary to effectively implement this policy.

**"Core Subject Area"** - means reading and English language arts, mathematics, science, foreign language, government, economics, fine arts, history and geography.

**"Student Growth"** - for the purpose of the District's evaluation policy, student growth is defined as the change in student achievement for an individual student between two (2) or more points in time.

**"Student Learning Objectives" ("SLOs")** - include goals identified by a teacher or group of teachers that identify expected learning outcomes or growth targets for a group of students over a period of time.

**"Shared Attribution Measures"** - student growth measures that can be attributed to a group.



## NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 4 of 15

**“Value-Added”** – refers to the EVAAS Value-Added methodology provided by SAS, Inc., which provides a measure of student progress at the District and school level based on each student’s scores on State issued standardized assessments.

**“Vendor Assessment”** – student assessments approved by the Ohio Department of Education that measure mastery of the course content for the appropriate grade level, which may include nationally normed standardized assessments, industry certification exams, or end-of-course examinations for grade level and subjects for which the Value-Added measure does not apply.

### **Standards Based Teacher Evaluation**

Teacher evaluations will utilize multiple factors, with the intent of providing meaningful feedback to each teacher and assigning an effectiveness rating based in equal part upon teacher performance and student growth.

Each teacher evaluation will result in an effectiveness rating of:

- A. accomplished;
- B. proficient;
- C. developing; or
- D. ineffective

The specific standards and criteria for distinguishing between these ratings/levels of performance shall be the same as those developed by the State Board of Education, which are incorporated herein by reference.

The Superintendent shall annually cause to be filed a report to the Department of Education the number of teachers for whom an evaluation was conducted as well as the number of teachers assigned each rating as set forth above, aggregated by teacher preparation programs from which and the years in which the teachers graduated.

Fifty percent (50%) of each evaluation will be based upon teacher performance and fifty percent (50%) on multiple measures of student growth as set forth herein.



## NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 5 of 15

### **Assessment of Teacher Performance**

Teacher performance will be evaluated during formal observations and periodic informal observations also known as "classroom walkthroughs." Such performance, which will comprise fifty-percent (50%) of a teacher's effectiveness rating, will be assessed through a holistic process by trained and credentialed evaluators based upon the following *Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession*:

- A. understanding student learning and development and respecting the diversity of the students they teach;
- B. understanding the content area for which they have instructional responsibility;
- C. understanding and using varied assessment to inform instruction, evaluate and ensure student learning;
- D. planning and delivering effective instruction that advances individual student learning;
- E. creating learning environments that promote high levels of learning and student achievement;
- F. collaborating and communicating with students, parents, other educators, District administrators and the community to support student learning; and
- G. assuming responsibility for professional growth, performance and involvement.



# NEOLA of OHIO

## TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 6 of 15

### Formal Observation and Classroom Walkthrough Sequence

- A. All instructors who meet the definition of "teacher" under R.C. 3319.111 and this policy shall be evaluated based on at least **two (2)** formal observations and periodic classroom walkthroughs **each school year**.
- B. Teachers on a limited contract who are under consideration for renewal/nonrenewal shall receive at least **three (3)** formal observations in addition to periodic classroom walkthroughs ~~X~~ unless the Superintendent waives the third observation.
  - ☒ A teacher who has been granted a continuing contract by the Board and who receives a rating of "Accomplished" on his/her most recent evaluation shall be evaluated **every other school year**.
  - ~~X~~ A teacher who receives a rating of "Accomplished" on his/her most recent evaluation may request that in place of one of the required observations, the teacher instead may complete a project. The Superintendent/designee shall approve or deny the teacher's request in his/her sole discretion.

Pursuant to this policy and Board resolution, the Board shall approve a list of projects recommended by the Superintendent/designee that demonstrate a teacher's continued growth and practice at the accomplished level for accomplished teachers who wish to complete a project in lieu of one (1) formal observation.

Evaluations will be completed by May 1<sup>st</sup> and each teacher will be provided a written report of the results of his/her evaluation by May 10<sup>th</sup>. Written notice of nonrenewal will be provided by June 1<sup>st</sup>.

In evaluating teacher performance in these areas, the Board shall utilize the measures set forth by the Ohio Department of Education's OTES "Teacher Performance Evaluation Rubric" for instructional planning, instruction and assessment, and professionalism, set forth herein in the Appendix.



# NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**

**SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**PROFESSIONAL STAFF**

3220/page 7 of 15

Each teacher evaluated under this policy shall annually complete a "Self-Assessment," utilizing the Self Assessment Summary Tool set forth herein as "Teacher Evaluation Form."

## **Formal Observation Procedure**

### **[Choose One]**

- A. ☒ The first formal observation shall be preceded by a conference between the evaluator and the employee prior to the observation in order for the employee to explain plans and objectives for the classroom situation to be observed. The second observation will be unannounced.

**OR**

- A. ☒ All formal observations shall be preceded by a conference between the evaluator and the employee prior to the observation in order for the employee to explain plans and objectives for the classroom situation to be observed.
- B. A post-observation conference shall be held after each formal observation.

## **Informal Observation/Classroom Walkthrough Procedure**

- A. Classroom walkthroughs shall not unreasonably disrupt and/or interrupt the learning environment.
- B. Data gathered from the walkthrough must be placed on the form designated in Teacher Evaluation Form.
- C. A final debriefing and completed form must be shared with the employee within a reasonable amount of time.





## EOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 8 of 15

### **Assessment of Student Growth**

In determining student growth measures, the Board adopts the Ohio Department of Education's Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES), which calculates student growth by assessing achievement for an individual student occurring between two (2) points in time. It is important to note that a student who has sixty (60) or more unexcused absences for the school year will not be included in the determination of student academic growth.

In general, the Board will utilize the following categories to determine this aspect of a teacher's evaluation, depending upon the instructor involved:

- A1. Teachers instructing in value-added subjects exclusively<sup>1</sup>;
- A2. Teachers instructing in value-added courses, but not exclusively<sup>2</sup>;

**OR**

- B. Teachers instructing in areas with Ohio Department of Education approved vendor assessments with teacher-level data available; or

<sup>1</sup> If a teacher's schedule is comprised only of courses or subjects for which the value-added progress dimension is available, until June 30, 2014, the majority (i.e., greater than twenty-five (25%) of the student growth factor of the evaluation will be based upon the value-added progress as determined for each such teacher. After July 1, 2014, the entire student academic growth factor of the evaluation (i.e. fifty percent (50%)) shall be based on the value-added progress dimension.

<sup>2</sup>For these teachers, value added will be used for the student academic growth factor in proportion to the part of a teacher's schedule of courses or subjects for which the value-added progress dimension is applicable. Teachers with multiple subjects that have value-added data will be issued reports for a composite of reading and math; for other assessments (approved vendor and local measures), the assessment data measures should be representative of the teacher's schedule.





# NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**

**SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF

3220/page 9 of 15

- C. Teachers instructing in areas where no teacher-level value-added or approved vendor assessment available.<sup>3</sup>

Where value-added methodologies exist for A1 and A2 teachers, the Board will utilize them in the evaluation process, to the extent set forth in the Appendix of the "District Student Growth Measurement Index." Teachers instructing in value-added courses, but not exclusively, will utilize teacher value-added and locally determined measures proportionate to the teacher's schedule.

When an approved Ohio Department of Education vendor assessment is utilized in the measurement of student growth, it will be included in the evaluation process for B teachers to the extent set forth in the Appendix of the "District Student Growth Measurement Index."

When neither teacher-level value-added data nor Ohio Department of Education-approved assessments are available, the District shall use locally-determined Student Growth Measures for C teachers as set forth in the Appendix of the "District Student Growth Measurement Index." Student Growth Measures may be comprised of SLOs, shared attribution, and/or non-Value-Added vendor data.

An SLO must be based upon the following criteria: Baseline and Trend Data, Student Population, Interval of Instruction, Standards and Content, Assessment(s), Growth Targets, and Rationale for Growth Targets. When new SLO's are developed or revised, the process will include consultation with teachers employed by the Board. The Board's process for creating and revising SLO's is set forth in the Appendix of the "District OTES Student Growth Measures Manual."

Data from these approved measures of student growth will be scored on five (5) levels in accordance with the Ohio Department of Education/OTES guidance and converted to a score in one of three (3) levels of student growth:

- A. above;
- B. expected;
- C. below.

<sup>3</sup> If used, only one (1) "shared attribution" measure can be utilized per instructor.



# NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 10 of 15

## Final Evaluation Procedures

Each teacher's performance rating will be combined with the assessment of student growth measures to produce the summative evaluation rating, based upon the following "Evaluation Matrix":

**Teacher Performance**

		4	3	2	1
Student Growth Measure	Above	Accomplished	Accomplished	Proficient	Developing
	Expected	Proficient	Proficient	Developing	Developing
	Below	Developing	Developing	Ineffective	Ineffective

The evaluator shall provide that each evaluation is submitted to the teacher for his/her acknowledgement by written receipt. If signed, by the teacher, the receipt is to be sent to the Superintendent as soon as received.



## NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 11 of 15

### **Professional Growth Plans and Professional Improvement Plans**

Based upon the results of the annual teacher evaluation as converted to the "Evaluation Matrix" above, each teacher must develop either a professional growth plan or professional improvement plan as follows:

- A. Teachers whose performance rating indicates above expected levels of student growth will develop a professional growth plan and may choose their credentialed evaluator from those available to the Board for that purpose, utilizing the components set forth in the "Teacher Evaluation Form."
- B. Teachers whose performance rating indicates expected levels of student growth will develop a professional growth plan collaboratively with his/her credentialed evaluator and will have input on his/her evaluator for the next evaluation cycle, utilizing the components set forth in the "Teacher Evaluation Form"
- C. Teachers whose performance rating indicates below expected levels of student growth will develop a professional improvement plan with their credentialed evaluator. The administration will assign the evaluator for the subsequent evaluation cycle and approve the professional improvement plan, utilizing the components set forth in "Teacher Evaluation Form."



## NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 12 of 15

### **Core Subject Teachers - Testing for Content Knowledge**

Beginning with the 2015-2016 school year, core subject area teachers must register for and complete all written examinations of content knowledge selected by the Ohio Department of Education if the teacher has received an effectiveness rating of "Ineffective" on his/her annual evaluation for two (2) of the three (3) most recent school years.

If a teacher passes the examination set forth above and provides proof of that passage to the Board, the teacher will be required, at the teacher's expense, to complete professional development that is targeted to the deficiencies identified in the teacher's evaluations conducted under this policy.

The following may be grounds for termination of a teacher pursuant to R.C. 3319.16:

- A. failing to complete all required written examinations under this section;
- B. a failing score on a written examination(s) taken pursuant to this section;
- C. a rating of "ineffective" on the teacher's next evaluation after passing all written examinations pursuant to this section and after completion of the required professional development; or
- D. failure of a teacher to complete the required professional development.

Any teacher passing the examination set forth above will not be required to take the examination again for three (3) years, regardless of the teacher's evaluation ratings or the performance index score ranking of the building in which the teacher teaches.

No teacher shall be responsible for the cost of taking an examination set forth above.

### **Board Professional Development Plan**

In accordance with the Ohio State Board of Education's statewide evaluation framework, the Board has adopted a specific plan for the allocation of financial resources to support the professional development of teachers covered by this policy. The plan will be reviewed annually.





## NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF  
3220/page 13 of 15

### **Retention and Promotion Decisions/Removal of Poorly Performing Teachers**

It is the purpose of this Standards-Based Teacher Evaluation Policy to improve the quality of instruction, enhance student learning and strengthen professional competence through meaningful feedback and targeted professional development. In addition, the evaluations produced will serve to inform the Board on employment decisions, i.e., retention, promotion of teachers, renewal of teaching contracts, and the removal/nonrenewal of poorly performing teachers.

#### **Definitions:**

**“Retention”** for purposes of this policy refers to employment decisions on the question of whether or not to suspend a contract pursuant to a reduction in force, nonrenew a limited or extended limited contract, or terminate employment for good and just cause. In the case of a reduction in force, seniority will not be considered when making decisions on contract suspensions, except in the instance of comparable evaluations. The decision to nonrenew or terminate the contract of a poorly performing teacher may be informed by the evaluation(s) conducted under this policy. However, decisions to nonrenew or terminate a teaching contract are not limited by the existence of this policy.

**“Promotion”** as used in this context is of limited utility given the fact that teachers covered by this policy are not currently employed in any discernible hierarchy. Nevertheless, when making decisions relative to such matters as determining department or grade level chairpersons, selections to curricular or strategic planning bodies, or teaching assignments, the Board will consider teacher performance as indicated by evaluations.

**“Poorly Performing Teachers”** refers to teachers identified through the evaluation process set forth in this policy who demonstrate an inability and/or unwillingness to meet the reasonable expectations of this standards-based evaluation system.

**“Comparable Evaluations”**- Since seniority may not be the basis for teacher retention or other employment decisions, except when deciding between teachers who have comparable evaluations, this refers to teachers within the categories of “Ineffective,” “Developing,” “Proficient,” and “Accomplished.”



# NEOLA of OHIO TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**

**SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**PROFESSIONAL STAFF**

3220/page 14 of 15

## Removal of Poorly Performing Teachers

Poorly performing teachers may be removed, upon recommendation of the Superintendent, either through nonrenewal or termination, when the following has been demonstrated:

- A. failure of a core subject area teacher required to take a written examination to pass such examination;
- B. failure of core subject area teacher required to take a written examination to complete required professional development;
- C. failure of core subject area teacher to complete all required written examinations;
- D. receipt of an "Ineffective" rating by a core subject area teacher in the evaluation following passage of a written examination and completion of required professional development;

( ) \_\_\_\_\_

( ) \_\_\_\_\_

( ) \_\_\_\_\_

( ) \_\_\_\_\_

**OR**

[ ]

Removal of poorly performing teachers will be in accordance with the nonrenewal and termination statutes of the Ohio revised code

( ) and/or the relevant provisions of the collective bargaining agreement in effect between the Board and the GEA.



**NEOLA of OHIO**  
TEMPLATES

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**

**SCHOOL DISTRICT**

PROFESSIONAL STAFF

3220/page 15 of 15

Nothing in this policy will be deemed to prevent the Board from exercising its rights to nonrenew, terminate, or suspend a teaching contract as provided by law and the terms of the GEA collective bargaining agreement in effect between it and the GEA. The evaluation system and procedures set forth in this policy shall not create an expectation of continued employment for teachers on a limited contract that are evaluated under this policy. The Board reserves the right to nonrenew a teacher evaluated under this policy in accordance with R.C. 3319.11 notwithstanding the teacher's summative rating.

R.C. 3319.02, 3319.11, 3319.111, 3319.112, 3319.22, 3319.222, 3319.226

R.C. 3319.26, 3319.58, 3333.0411

A.C. 3301-35-03(A)

© NEOLA 2013